

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/565,516 | 01/23/2006 | Hugo Cedraeus | 463.1029USN | 2960 | |
| 33369 FASTH LAW (| 7590 08/22/2007 OFFICES (ROLF FASTH) | | EXAMINER | | |
| 26 PINECREST PLAZA, SUITE 2 | | | LEE, JOHN J | | |
| SOUTHERN P | INES, NC 28387-4301 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2618 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/22/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|----------------|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/565,516 | CEDRAEUS, HUC | CEDRAEUS, HUGO | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| • | JOHN J. LEE | 2618 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence ad | ldress | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become | IICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this can be also | | |
| Status | | · | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the closed in the closed | action is non-final. nce except for formal ma | | e merits is | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | · | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyone ion is required if the drawing | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No en received in this National | Stage | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper N | v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/565,516

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 4/13/2006 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents with legible copy of foreign patent documents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the limitation "the weighed variables" lines 16 in pages 3 should be changed to "weighed variables" and the limitation "the selected random

Art Unit: 2618

generating item" lines 16 should be changed to "a selected random generating item".

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 3, the limitation "the variables" lines 30 in pages 3 should be changed to "the weighed variables".

Regarding claim 4, the limitation "the result" lines 34 in pages 3 should be changed to "the random result".

Regarding claim 5, the limitation "the user" lines 3 in pages 4 should be changed to "an user" and the limitation "the result" lines 4 in pages 4 should be changed to "the random result".

Regarding claim 6, the limitation "the result" lines 7 in pages 4 should be changed to "the random result".

Regarding claim 7, the limitation "the result" lines 12 in pages 4 should be changed to "the random result".

Regarding claim 9, the limitation "a menu" lines 20 in pages 4 should be changed to "the menu".

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,516

Art Unit: 2618

Cotsonas et al. (US 5,386,457) discloses Resolving Contention Between Multiple Base Units During a Call Setup in a Public Cordless Telephone System.

Fischer et al. (US 6,289,459) discloses Processor Unique Processor Number Feature with a User Controllable Disable Capability.

Ylitalo (US 2007/0155331) discloses Data Transmission Method and Arrangement.

Sato et al. (US 2002/0028687) discloses Retransmission Control Method and System for Multicast Information Distribution Service, Retransmission Control Apparatus, Wireless Base Station and Wireless Terminal.

Information regarding...Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system... at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)."

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Or P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313

or faxed (571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)
Or: (703) 308-6606 (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to USPTO Headquarters, Alexandria, VA.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John J. Lee** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7880.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,516

Art Unit: 2618

He can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30am-5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Edward Urban**, can be reached on (571) 272-7899. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

J.L August 15, 2007

John J Lee